6th CoMBInES
Volume 6 No 1 (2026)

Accepted : July 11th, 2026
Published : September 30th, 2026
DOI : 10.37253/combines.v6i1.12789

Conference on Management, Business, Innovation, Education and Social Science
https://journal.uib.ac.id/index.php/combines

Mindful Communication and Social Resilience: Exploring the Impact of Mindfulness Training on Interpersonal Well-Being in the AI Era

***Santhidran Sinnappan*[1]**
santhidran@utar.edu.my[1]

***Sabbitan Balakrishnan*[2]**
santhidran@utar.edu.my[1]

***Thinavan Periyayya*[3]**
santhidran@utar.edu.my[1]

***Jayamalathi Jayabalan*[4]**
santhidran@utar.edu.my[1]

***Tamil Arasi Muniandy*[5]**
santhidran@utar.edu.my[1]

[1]Department of Mass Communication, Universiti Tunku Abdul Rahman, Malaysia
[2]Hospital Sultan Haji Abdul Ahmad Shah Temerloh, Pahang, Malaysia
[3]Department of Media, Universiti Tunku Abdul Rahman, Malaysia
[4]Department of Accountancy, Universiti Tunku Abdul Rahman, Malaysia
[5]Department of General Studies, Universiti Tunku Abdul Rahman, Malaysia

Abstract

As artificial intelligence increasingly mediates everyday interaction, communication risks becoming more fragmented and reactive. This study examines how an 8-week Mindfulness-Based Cognitive Therapy for Life (MBCT-L) program shapes interpersonal communication and relational well-being, interpreted through Active Empathic Listening (AEL) — sensing, processing, and responding with empathy. Using a qualitative phenomenological design, semi-structured interviews with 18 participants (aged 23–73) were analyzed via reflexive thematic analysis. Five themes emerged: listening with presence, shifting from automatic reaction to intentional response, navigating conflict with awareness, relational transfer across contexts, and embodied awareness. Findings show mindfulness strengthens each AEL stage, moving communication from automaticity toward attentive, compassionate engagement that extends across family, workplace, and community settings. Results suggest mindfulness-based interventions offer a viable pathway to more inclusive human connection; future research should explore long-term and cross-cultural outcomes.

Keywords: Mindful Communication, Relational Well-being, Social Resilience, Embodied Awareness and Active Empathic Listening

Introduction

The rapid diffusion of artificial intelligence (AI) into everyday life is transforming how people work, connect, and sustain relationships. While AI-enabled tools offer efficiency and convenience, their growing presence has also been linked to fragmented attention, heightened stress, and increasingly reactive patterns of interaction that strain social cohesion (Pauw et al., 2024). In this environment, interpersonal communication remains a cornerstone of human resilience and inclusive futures, underpinned by effective listening, mutual understanding, empathy, and emotional attunement (Diener et al., 2018; Seligman, 2011). Communication in this sense is not merely the transmission of information but a co-constructed process through which meaning, trust, and connection are built in everyday exchanges (Gergen, 1999).

Mindfulness, defined as present-moment, non-judgmental awareness, has emerged as a promising intervention to counterbalance the reactive tendencies fostered by an accelerated, technologically mediated world. Mindfulness training has been shown to support emotional regulation, reduce reactivity, and cultivate empathic responsiveness (Morales-Rodríguez & Morales-Rodríguez, 2024). Emerging evidence even suggests that structured, technology-delivered mindfulness programs can improve well-being and trait mindfulness among general populations, indicating that such interventions remain relevant and accessible even within digitally saturated lifestyles (Xu et al., 2025). However, while a substantial body of quantitative research has established links between mindfulness and psychological well-being, comparatively few studies have explored how individuals subjectively experience changes in their everyday communication following structured mindfulness training (Pratscher et al., 2018).

This study addresses that gap by examining how participation in an eight-week Mindfulness-Based Cognitive Therapy for Life (MBCT-L) program shapes participants' perceptions of their interpersonal communication and relational well-being. The inquiry is interpreted through the framework of Active Empathic Listening (AEL), which conceptualizes empathic communication as a three-stage process of sensing, processing, and responding (Manusov et al., 2018). AEL offers theoretical grounding for understanding how mindfulness might strengthen self-awareness, empathic listening, and intentional communication. Accordingly, the study was guided by the research question: What changes do participants perceive in their interpersonal communication skills in everyday relationships after completing MBCT-L?

Literature Review

Positive psychology scholarship consistently identifies relational quality as a central determinant of subjective well-being and life satisfaction (Diener et al., 2018; Seligman, 2011). From a social constructionist perspective, thriving is not purely an internal psychological state but emerges through communicative exchanges that co-construct shared meaning (Gergen, 1999). Interpersonal communication, in turn, extends beyond information exchange to encompass emotional regulation, responsiveness to others, and the intentional deployment of attention within relational contexts (Pauw et al., 2024). These qualities are especially salient in an era where AI-mediated interaction can flatten attentiveness and accelerate reactive exchange.

Mindfulness has accumulated growing empirical support as a mechanism for enhancing both psychological functioning and social processes. Because it cultivates present-moment, non-judgmental awareness, mindfulness training supports emotional regulation, reduces reactivity, and fosters more empathic responsiveness in interpersonal exchanges (Morales-Rodríguez & Morales-Rodríguez, 2024). Structured programs such as Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction and Mindfulness-Based Cognitive Therapy have demonstrated robust effects on psychological health in non-clinical populations (Querstret et al., 2020), while related protocols such as MBCT-L have been developed specifically to extend these benefits into workplace and everyday relational contexts (Nixon et al., 2025). Qualitative work reinforces these findings: Bihari and Mullan (2014) found that mindfulness-based cognitive therapy reshaped how participants related to significant others, while Pratscher et al. (2018) demonstrated that interpersonal mindfulness reduces co-rumination and enhances friendship quality. More recent scholarship has extended this inquiry across interpersonal, organizational, and cross-cultural communication domains, suggesting that mindful awareness can facilitate deeper empathy and more authentic engagement in social interaction generally (Chen et al., 2024).

The Active Empathic Listening framework offers a useful theoretical bridge between mindfulness and communication outcomes. AEL models listening as an active, empathic process comprising sensing, processing, and responding, and has been linked to improved relational quality and social-emotional skill (Manusov et al., 2018). Mindfulness plausibly strengthens each of these stages: sensing is enhanced through heightened present-moment attention, processing benefits from reduced reactivity and greater emotion-regulation capacity, and responding is shaped by the cultivation of non-judgmental, compassionate awareness (Sardana & Verma, 2025). Embodiment research complements this account, showing that meditative practice increases interoceptive and bodily awareness, which in turn supports attunement during social interaction (Cebolla et al., 2016; Khoury et al., 2025).

Mindfulness also appears central to how individuals manage conflict and emotional escalation. Iani et al. (2019) found that the mindfulness facets of describing and nonreactivity were particularly associated with adaptive emotion regulation, while reviews of mindfulness-based emotion-regulation strategies confirm consistent, if heterogeneous, benefits across populations (Raugh et al., 2024). Nozaki and Gross (2025) further argue that supportive communication and interpersonal emotion regulation are conceptually intertwined, positioning empathic responsiveness as a regulatory resource within relationships rather than a purely individual trait. Finally, evidence suggests that mindfulness-related relational benefits are portable: family-systemic approaches show that mindfulness enhances relational functioning across the family unit (Bögels & Emerson, 2019), while compassion- and mindfulness-based interventions have been applied effectively across couple, family, and broader systemic contexts (Aguilar-Raab, 2020).

Despite this expanding evidence base, most existing research relies on quantitative outcome measures and does not capture the lived, first-person experience of how communication itself changes following mindfulness training (Pratscher et al., 2018). This gap is notable given evidence that interpersonal mindfulness is closely tied to emotion regulation and peer relationship quality across the lifespan, including among adolescents navigating heavily mediated social environments (Zhang et al., 2026). A phenomenological approach is therefore appropriate, as it seeks to explore the essence of lived experience — in this case, participants' subjective perceptions of how their communication skills changed after completing MBCT-L.

Methods

This study employed a qualitative phenomenological design, selected for its capacity to capture participants' lived, subjective meaning-making processes rather than to test predefined hypotheses. Eighteen individuals aged between 23 and 73 years completed an eight-week Mindfulness-Based Cognitive Therapy for Life (MBCT-L) program and were subsequently recruited for the study. Approximately two weeks after training completion, participants took part in individual, semi-structured interviews designed to explore their perceptions of changes in interpersonal communication.

Each interview lasted approximately one hour and focused on participants' listening practices, their responses to challenging or emotionally charged conversations, and communication patterns across different relational contexts, including family, workplace, and community settings. Participants were drawn from a range of occupational backgrounds and life stages, providing a degree of variation in how mindfulness-related communication changes were experienced and articulated. Interviews were conducted remotely via Microsoft Teams, Zoom, or Google Meet to accommodate participants' schedules and locations, and all sessions were audio- and video-recorded with participants' consent before being transcribed verbatim for analysis.

Transcripts were analyzed using reflexive thematic analysis, following the widely adopted six-phase approach (Braun & Clarke, 2006). This process began with familiarization with the dataset, followed by systematic generation of initial codes capturing patterned meanings related to communication and relational experience. Codes were then collated into candidate themes, which were iteratively reviewed, refined, and named through repeated engagement with the data. Analytic memos were maintained throughout to support reflexivity and interpretive depth, consistent with the emphasis on researcher-as-instrument that characterizes reflexive thematic analysis.

Ethical clearance for the study was granted by the UTAR Scientific and Ethical Review Committee (U/SERC/56(A)-719/2025). All participants provided informed consent prior to participation, and confidentiality was maintained through de-identification of transcripts and the use of participant codes in reporting.

Results

Analysis of the interview data generated five themes that collectively illustrate how MBCT-L training reshaped participants' interpersonal communication and relational well-being. Across themes, participants consistently described a shift away from habitual, reactive patterns of relating toward more intentional, embodied, and compassionate modes of engagement.

The first theme, Listening with Presence, captured a shift from distracted or evaluative listening toward present-moment, non-judgmental attention. Participants described becoming more attentive to both verbal content and nonverbal cues, which fostered more balanced, empathic conversations rather than exchanges dominated by one party. As one participant reflected, "Now I try to make conversations more balanced. They talk, I talk. I try to understand where the other person is coming from" (P7).

The second theme, From Automatic Reaction to Intentional Response, reflected a change in how participants engaged with emotionally charged interactions. Rather than reacting impulsively, participants described introducing a deliberate pause before responding, which afforded greater clarity and reduced the likelihood of conflict escalation. One participant

explained, "Previously the thought comes, the anger comes, and the reaction comes all in one shot. Now I allow a bit of space before I respond" (P1).

The third theme, Navigating Conflict with Awareness, illustrated how mindfulness equipped participants with tools to remain emotionally grounded during disagreements. Participants reported handling conflict with greater calmness and emotional regulation, reducing tendencies toward either avoidance or escalation during difficult conversations. As one participant put it, "When there is conflict, I don't immediately raise my voice anymore. I try to stay calm and handle it properly" (P13).

The fourth theme, Relational Transfer Across Contexts, showed that communication improvements were not confined to a single relationship type but extended across family, workplace, and community settings. Participants described greater patience, tolerance, and understanding in their interactions across these varied relational domains. One participant noted, "I noticed I'm more patient now, especially when dealing with people at work" (P14).

The fifth theme, Embodied Awareness in Communication, captured participants' heightened awareness of bodily and emotional states — including breath, tone, and physical tension — during interactions. This embodied dimension appeared to support calmer, more attuned communication, reinforcing the relationship between internal awareness and external relational behavior. As one participant described, "I became more aware of my reactions in my body and emotions when I talk to people" (P16).

Together, these themes indicate that mindfulness training reshapes communication by strengthening the stages of Active Empathic Listening: sensing is enhanced through presence and embodied awareness, processing is strengthened through the cultivation of intentional, non-reactive response, and responding is enriched through the relational transfer of empathic, attuned communication across diverse social contexts.

Conclusions

This study examined how participation in an eight-week MBCT-L program shaped participants' interpersonal communication and relational well-being, revealing five interconnected themes: listening with presence, intentional responding, conflict navigation, relational transfer, and embodied awareness. Collectively, these findings affirm that mindfulness training cultivates deeper, more compassionate connection by shifting communication from automaticity toward awareness, strengthening each stage of the Active Empathic Listening process.

These findings carry meaningful implications across levels of analysis. For individuals, mindfulness training enhances relational quality and reduces stress by strengthening the capacities to sense, process, and respond with empathy. For organizations, embedding these practices may improve collaboration, conflict management, and leadership effectiveness. For society more broadly, mindful listening offers a foundation for social resilience and inclusive futures at a moment when AI-mediated interaction increasingly prioritizes speed over relational depth.

Future research should examine the durability of these relational changes over time, incorporate quantitative measures of communication effectiveness alongside qualitative accounts, and explore how cultural context shapes the way mindfulness influences interpersonal dynamics. Ultimately, this study suggests that mindful communication is not simply a means of reducing stress, but a foundation for cultivating the conditions necessary for flourishing, inclusive relationships in an increasingly automated communicative landscape. As

AI continues to reshape the texture of everyday interaction, cultivating mindful, empathic listening may prove essential to sustaining genuinely human connection.

References

Aguilar-Raab, C. (2020). Mindfulness- and compassion-based interventions in relational contexts. In M. Ochs, M. Borcsa, & J. Schweitzer (Eds.), *Systemic research in individual, couple, and family therapy and counseling* (European Family Therapy Association Series). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-36560-8_13

Bihari, J. L., & Mullan, E. G. (2014). Relating mindfully: A qualitative exploration of changes in relationships through mindfulness-based cognitive therapy. *Mindfulness, 5*(1), 46–59. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12671-012-0146-x

Bögels, S. M., & Emerson, L.-M. (2019). The mindful family: A systemic approach to mindfulness, relational functioning, and somatic and mental health. *Current Opinion in Psychology, 28*, 138–142. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2018.12.001

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology, 3*(2), 77–101. https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa

Cebolla, A., Miragall, M., Palomo, P., Llorens, R., Soler, J., Demarzo, M., García-Campayo, J., & Baños, R. M. (2016). Embodiment and body awareness in meditators. *Mindfulness, 7*(6), 1297–1305. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12671-016-0569-x

Chen, H., Liu, C., Wu, K., et al. (2024). Editorial: Mindfulness in interpersonal, group, organization, media, and cross-culture communication. *Frontiers in Psychology*. https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/psychology/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2024.1429978/full

Diener, E., Oishi, S., & Tay, L. (2018). Advances in subjective well-being research. *Nature Human Behaviour, 2*, 253–260. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-018-0307-6

Gergen, K. J. (1999). *An invitation to social construction*. Sage.

Iani, L., Lauriola, M., Chiesa, A., & Cafaro, V. (2019). Associations between mindfulness and emotion regulation: The key role of describing and nonreactivity. *Mindfulness, 10*(2), 366–375. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12671-018-0981-5

Khoury, B., Lesage, M., Kasprzyk, A., Sadowski, I., Manova, V., Benchimol-Elkaim, B., Dias Martins, C., Rigas, C., & Rej, S. (2025). Embodied and embedded mindfulness and compassion framework. *Mindfulness, 16*, 1091–1115. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12671-025-02561-4

Manusov, V., Stofleth, D., Harvey, J. A., & Crowley, J. P. (2018). Conditions and consequences of listening well for interpersonal relationships: Modeling active-empathic listening, social-emotional skills, trait mindfulness, and relational quality. *International Journal of Listening, 34*(2). https://doi.org/10.1080/10904018.2018.1507745

Morales-Rodríguez, A. M., & Morales-Rodríguez, F. M. (2024). Effectiveness of a mindfulness-based intervention to improve communication and stress coping skills in university students. *European Journal of Investigation in Health, Psychology and Education, 14*(7). https://www.mdpi.com/2254-9625/14/7/128

Nixon, E., Patel, S., Patel, P., Roe, J., Nixon, N., Sweeney, T., Bernard, P., Strauss, C., Craven, M. P., Malins, S., Goodwin, R., Astill Wright, L., Guo, B., & Morriss, R. (2025). Mindfulness-Based Cognitive Therapy for Life (MBCT-L) versus Stress Reduction Psychoeducation (SRP) for the improvement of mental well-being in health care and

other public sector staff: Protocol for the Well at Work randomized controlled trial. *JMIR Research Protocols, 14*, e67695. https://doi.org/10.2196/67695

Nozaki, Y., & Gross, J. J. (2025). Bridging supportive communication and interpersonal emotion regulation: An integrative review. *Journal of Social and Personal Relationships, 42*(8), 2231–2262. https://doi.org/10.1177/02654075251335816

Pauw, L. S., Sun, R., Zoppolat, G., Righetti, F., & Milek, A. (2024). May I help you? The relationship between interpersonal emotion regulation and emotional and relational wellbeing in daily life. *Collabra: Psychology, 10*(1), 90797. https://doi.org/10.1525/collabra.90797

Pratscher, S. D., Rose, A. J., Markovitz, L., & Bettencourt, A. (2018). Interpersonal mindfulness: Investigating mindfulness in interpersonal interactions, co-rumination, and friendship quality. *Mindfulness, 9*(4), 1206–1215. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12671-017-0859-y

Querstret, D., Morison, L., Dickinson, S., Cropley, M., & John, M. (2020). Mindfulness-based stress reduction and mindfulness-based cognitive therapy for psychological health and well-being in nonclinical samples: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *International Journal of Stress Management, 27*(4), 394–411. https://doi.org/10.1037/str0000165

Raugh, I. M., Berglund, A. M., & Strauss, G. P. (2024). Implementation of mindfulness-based emotion regulation strategies: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Affective Science, 6*, 171–200. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42761-024-00281-x

Sardana, S., & Verma, M. K. (2025). Mindfulness and communication: Enhancing interpersonal relationships. *Lex Localis – Journal of Local Self-Government, 23*(S4). https://doi.org/10.52152/

Seligman, M. E. P. (2011). *Flourish: A visionary new understanding of happiness and well-being*. Free Press.

Xu, J.-Q., Tang, Y.-M. J., & Chen, H.-Y. K. (2025). Impact of an online mindfulness-based program on wellbeing and trait mindfulness. *BMC Psychology, 13*, 28. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40359-024-02233-3

Zhang, Y., Zhang, Y., Liu, X., & Guo, C. (2026). Associations between interpersonal mindfulness, emotion regulation, and peer relationships among Chinese adolescents: A network analysis. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communication, 13*, 151. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-025-06213-9